

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,125	06/29/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2330	7248
31780 ERIC ROBII	7590 11/20/2002 NSON		ЕХАМГ	NER
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.			KEBEDE, BROOK	
	FALLS, VA 20165		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823 DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/894,125	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Burkly Kahada	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
		1				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) N	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	September 2002					
2h)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
2a) 11115 action to 1 113 12.	eveent for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parto quayo,	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
The execution is objected to by the Examiner.						
is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
tut at any abjection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. Good, and						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
4 Contified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
o 57 Continued applies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/332, 190						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for direct state of the stat						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) bitice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:				

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 18 and 31-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18, as being dependent of any one of base independent claims 1-12, recites "wherein said semiconductor device is at least one selected form the group consisting of a personal computer, a video camera, a mobile computer, a player using a recording medium, a goggle-type display, a digital camera, and a projector" Since all the base independent claims are clearly call for process of forming the device which is a thin crystalline silicon film transistor (TFT), the claim does not establish a base how different embodiments of a personal computer or a video camera or a mobile computer or a player using a recording medium or a goggle-type display or a digital camera or a projector are formed. Therefore, the scope of the claim cannot be determined and the claim is vague and indefinite. Also see *Ex parte Lyell* 17 USPQ2d 1548 (8/16/1990).

Claim 31, as being dependent of base independent claim 19, recites "wherein said semiconductor device is at least one selected form the group consisting of a personal computer, a video camera, a mobile computer, a player using a recording medium, a goggle-type display, a digital camera, and a projector" Since the base independent claim is clearly call for process of forming the device which is a thin crystalline silicon film transistor (TFT), the claim does not establish a base how different embodiments of a personal computer or a video camera or a mobile computer or a player using a recording

Art Unit: 2823

medium or a goggle-type display or a digital camera or a projector are formed. Therefore, the scope of the claim cannot be determined and the claim is vague and indefinite. Also see *Ex parte Lyell* 17 USPQ2d 1548 (8/16/1990).

Claim 32, as being dependent of base independent claim 20, recites "wherein said semiconductor device is at least one selected form the group consisting of a personal computer, a video camera, a mobile computer, a player using a recording medium, a goggle-type display, a digital camera, and a projector" Since the base independent claim is clearly call for process of forming the device which is a thin crystalline silicon film transistor (TFT), the claim does not establish a base how different embodiments of a personal computer or a video camera or a mobile computer or a player using a recording medium or a goggle-type display or a digital camera or a projector are formed. Therefore, the scope of the claim cannot be determined and the claim is vague and indefinite. Also see *Ex parte Lyell* 17 USPQ2d 1548 (8/16/1990).

Claims 33-45, as being dependent of base independent claims 1-12,19, and 20 respectively, recite "wherein said semiconductor device is an organic electro-luminescence display device." Since the base independent claims are clearly call for process of forming the device which is a thin crystalline silicon film transistor (TFT), the claims do not establish a base how different embodiments of an organic electro-luminescence display device are formed. Therefore, the scope of the claim cannot be determined and the claim is vague and indefinite. Also see *Ex parte Lyell* 17 USPQ2d 1548 (8/16/1990).

Accordingly, claims 18, 31-46 have not been further treated on the merit.

Art Unit: 2823

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 1-17 and 19-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (US/6,077,731) in view of Kudo et al. (JP/09186336).

Re claims 1-12, 19 and 20, Yamazaki et al. disclose a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming a semiconductor film comprising silicon over a substrate; irradiating said semiconductor film with laser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film; removing an oxide film from a surface of the semiconductor film by etching after the irradiation of the laser light; and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after removing said oxide film (see Figs. 5A – 6F) by containing the concentration of oxygen or oxide compound less the 1 ppm (i.e. less than 10 ppm as claimed) (see Col. 13, lines 10-18); and leveling the surface of the semiconductor film by heating after the treatment with said hydrofluoric acid in reducing

Art Unit: 2823

atmosphere such as hydrogen or inert gases such as nitrogen (see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Although Yamazaki et al. disclose irradiating said semiconductor film (i.e., an amorphous silicon film) with a leaser light for crystallizing said semiconductor film, Yamazaki et al. silent irradiating said semiconductor film with leaser light in air.

Kudo et al. disclose method of manufacturing thin film transistor the method includes depositing an amorphous silicon film (25) (i.e., a semiconductor layer) and irradiating the amorphous silicon film (25) with an excimer laser in atmosphere containing an air in order to dehydrogenate the amorphous silicon film and change into polysilicon thin film (see Abstract and Drawing 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to have provided Yamazaki et al. reference with irradiating on the semiconductor film (i.e., amorphous silicon film) in air as taught by Kudo et al. because the laser irradiation in air would have provided dehydrogenation of the amorphous silicon film and convert it to polysilicon thin film.

Re claim 13, as applied to claims 1-12 above, Yamazaki et al. disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein the step of leveling the surface of said semiconductor film is conducted by furnace annealing (see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 14, as applied to claims 1-12 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein the step of leveling the surface of said semiconductor film is conducted between 900 and 1200° C see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Art Unit: 2823

Re claim 15, as applied to claims 3, 6, 9, and 12 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein said inert gas is nitrogen.

Re claim 16, as applied to claims 2, 5, 8, and 11 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein said reducing atmosphere comprises hydrogen see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 17, as applied to claims 1-12 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the step of treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a buffered hydrofluoric acid before the irradiation of the laser light see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 21, as applied to claim 19 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein the step of leveling the surface of said semiconductor film is conducted by furnace annealing see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 22, as applied to claim 20 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein the step of leveling the surface of said semiconductor film is conducted by furnace annealing see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 23, as applied to claim 19 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein the step of leveling the surface of said semiconductor film is conducted between 900 and 1200° C see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 24, as applied to claim 20 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein the step of leveling the

Art Unit: 2823

surface of said semiconductor film is conducted between 900 and 1200° C see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 25, as applied to claim 19 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein said atmosphere in said leveling step contains an inert gas see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 26, as applied to claim 20 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein said atmosphere in said leveling step contains an inert gas see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 27, as applied to claim 19 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein said atmosphere in said leveling step contains a reducing atmosphere see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 28, as applied to claim 20 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including wherein said atmosphere in said leveling step contains a reducing atmosphere see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 29, as applied to claim 19 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including further comprising a step of treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a buffered hydrofluoric acid before the irradiation of the laser light see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Re claim 30, as applied to claim 20 above, Yamazaki et al. and Kudo et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including a step of treating a surface of the semiconductor film with a buffered hydrofluoric acid before the irradiation of the laser light see Col. 1, line 5 – Col. 128, line 65).

Art Unit: 2823

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims1-17 and 19-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. THIS ACTION IS **MADE NON-FINAL**.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure Ohtani et al. (US/5,843,833) disclose similar inventive subject matter.

Correspondence

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (703) 306-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Brook Kebede

November 15, 2002